An Outline of Soil Surveys Conducted in Brunei Darussalam

By

MARUMAYA, Akio⁽¹⁾

Summary : An outline of soil surveys and maps of Brunei Darussalam are described in order to provide basic information for afforestation. Four soil survey reports have been made and are available in this country. The soil Survey of Brunei, British Borneo, conducted in 1958, covers 2 areas in the north-east of Bandar Seri Begawan and in the lower Tutong Valley. A map was drawn for the former area on a scale of $1:50\ 000$. Unfortunately, this survey is of almost no use now, except for analytical data, as nearly all the areas have been converted into artificial land. The survey for the Land Capability Study (1969) includes 3 maps on a scale of $1:100\ 000$, which cover nearly all the country. They are now useful as these is no substitute covering the whole country. The one on Brunei Agricultural and Forestry Development Study (1982) includes several soil maps on scales of $1:12\ 500$, $1:25\ 000\ or\ 1:50\ 000$ for some parts of the Labi area and the Inter-Riverine Zone. These are the largest scale soil maps to have been published for public use in the country. The one of the Proposed Plantation Area (1989) includes a map on a scale of $1:2\ 000$, which covers about 13ha. This map has almost no practical meaning due to its very limited area, but provides an example of a large-scale map for forestry management.

Advantages and disadvantages of the above maps are compared to clarify their uses. In addition, soil classification systems employed in these surveys are briefly discussed.

Contents

| 1 | Introduction | ··89 |
|----|---|------|
| 2 | The Soil Survey of Brunei, British Borneo (1958) | ••90 |
| 3 | Land Capability Study (1969) | ··90 |
| 4 | Brunei Agricultural and Forestry Development Study (1982) | ··93 |
| 5 | Soil Survey and Soil Classification in Proposed Plantation Area(1988) | 101 |
| 6 | A Comment on the Classification System of Soils | 103 |
| Re | ferences | 104 |

1 Introduction

The Forestry Department of Brunei Darussalam has recently proposed a plan for an afforestation project of 50 000 ha in the Inter-Riverine Zone (IRZ.) in order to improve stand structure and productivity in logged-over natural forests. Before this plan is put into practice, it is necessary to classify the site conditions using basic data such as topography, soils and vegetation. Since such information is limited in Brunei Darussalam, the best possible use must be made of the available data. Here, the author will provide an outline of soil survey data and maps up to the present.

(1) Forest Environment Division

In 1955 the Division of Soils, Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organization, was asked through the Commonwealth Department of External Affairs to conduct a soil survey in Brunei, which was then a State under British protection. At that time, the Brunei Government proposed a re-settlement plan near Brunei Town and in the lower Tutong Valley. In order to obtain basic data for this plan, about 30 000 acres (=12 000ha) were inspected in each area and one soil survey map was drawn of the area near Brunei Town on a scale of 1 : 50 000. It covered the areas along Jalan Gadong, Jalan Berakas and Jalan Muara. Perhaps this was the first attempt to survey the distribution of soils systematically in this country, although it covered only a limited area (BLACKBURN, 1958).

In this survey, soil profiles were described according to local classification systems, which had been in use, possibly for hundreds of years, by the Kedayan people. Soils were classified into 5 classes based on features of surface soil and subsoil, such as colour, and content of sand and clay. The nature of the vegetation was also taken into account. Indeed, this system may have been primitive, but features of each soil class were described precisely and each class may be now identified as a soil type in a modern classification system.

Unfortunately, the soil map of this survey is of little use nowadays, as nearly all the areas covered by it have been converted into commercial areas, an airport and residential areas, etc. But the data of the profile description and analysis of soils at 48 points and water at 22 points are still very useful, as the collecting points are shown precisely on the maps.

3 Land Capability Study (1969)

This study was carried out by Hunting Technical Services in England on commission from the Brunei Government in 1968. The survey covered the entire State, and involved the study of land forms from aerial photographs, a soil survey in the field and the collection of data relevant to the development of natural resources. The report published in 1969 was composed of 3 volumes and including 3 soil maps on a scale of 1 : 100 000, which covered all of the land of Brunei except about 8 500ha of the most interior part of the Temburong District.

In this soil survey, the 1966 Sarawak System was adopted for soil classification. This scheme employed Great Soil Groups for the highest taxonomic criteria and Soil Families as a mapping unit. According to these soil maps, 8 Great Soil Groups, namely Red-Yellow Podzolic Soils, Podzols, Recent Alluvial Soils*, Gley Soils, Saline Gley Soils, Regosols, Skeletal Soils* and Organic Soils were found. (The meaning of * will be explained in the next chapter.) These were subdivided into 25 Soil Families in total. A definition of each Soil Family is given briefly in Table 1.

These Soil Families are too large to be used as the mapping unit for several areas where a few Soil Families are intricately distributed. For such areas, Soil Family Association is used as a mapping unit by combining 2 or 3 Soil Families. (for instance, as ME/BK or ME/NY/MA. A definition of these abbreviations is given in Table 1.) In Fig. 1, sections of these maps are shown.

In this survey, the names of Soil Families which had hitherto been used in Sarawak, were still used for those distributed in Brunei. Therefore, they should be replaced by local names according to the correlation table (ULG Consultants, 1982) to enable more detailed surveys. But these maps are still useful as there are no substitutes which cover the whole country.

| Great Soil | Soil | Abb. on | Parent | Physical | Equivalent |
|------------------------------|-----------|---------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Group | Family | the map | Material | Property | in Brunei |
| Red-Yellow Podzolic Soils | Merit | ME | Residual | heavy | Bukit |
| Fouzone Sons | Bekenu | BK | " | medium | Benutan |
| | Nyalau | | " | light | Sukang |
| | Malang | MA | Young Riverine | heavy | Asam* (Alluvial) |
| | Semilajau | SM | <i>II</i> | light | (Alluvial) (Alluvial) |
| | Lupar | LU | Old Alluvial | heavy | Rambai** |
| | Sabangang | SB | 11 | light | Banggarang** |
| Podzols | Miri | MR | Old Alluvial | cemented | Telisai** |
| . | Buso | BU | " | uncemented | Mapol** |
| Recent Alluvial Soils | Kayan | KY | Young Riverine | light | Merangking |
| Regosols | Regosol | RL | Old Alluvial | | Tungku or Takalit |
| Skeletal Soils | Meluan | ML | Residual | A / R | Puan |
| | Kapit | KP | " | A / C | Andulau |
| Gley Soils | Bijat | BJ | Young Riverine | heavy (non-peaty) | Tutong** |
| | Sebandi | SD | " | heavy | Singap** |
| | Plan | PL | 11 | (peaty) light (non-peaty) | Rampayoh** |
| | Tatau | TA | Young Marine | light | Buaya** |
| | Matu | MT | & Estuarine " | (non-peaty) light (peaty) | Pantai** |
| Saline Gley Soils | Rajang | RG | Young Marine & Estuarine | heavy(strongly saline) | not named as vet |
| City Joins | Pendam | PD | # Estuarme # | heavy(weakly saline) | not named as |
| | Belat | BE | 11 | light(strongly saline) | yet not named as yet |
| Organic Soils | Anderson | AN | | deep | Anduki |
| | Mukah | MU | | shallow | Keduan |
| | Igan | IG | | heavy shallow light | Sibut |
| | Limbang | LB | | light saline | not named as yet |

Table 1. Soil Families in Brunei Darussalam from the Soil Map of the Land Capability Survey (1969)

Note : * One of the series of Alluvial Soils

** One Series



Fig.1. Part of soil map in Land Capability Study (1969)

4 Brunei Agricultural and Forestry Development Study (1982)

The final report of the Brunei Agricultural and Forestry Development Study contains soil survey data and soil maps which, although they do not cover the whole of Brunei Darusalam, cover a fairly wide area(ULG Consultants, 1982). In 1981 the Brunei Government commissioned ULG Consultants Ltd. to carry out land use, forestry, agricultural, economic and social investigations in the Labi area and the IRZ. The report of these investigations published in 1982 and 1983 consisted of 12 volumes and 3 boxes of maps. They include 2 soil maps on a scale of 1 : 12 500 for the Labi area, 2 soil maps on a scale of 1 : 50 000, 4 soil maps on a scale of 1 : 25 000 for the IRZ., and 4 volumes of soil survey data. They are the soil maps on the largest scale that have been published for public use in this country.

In order to implement these soil surveys, the 1979 version of the Sarawak Classification was basically adopted. This scheme employed Great Soil Groups for the highest taxonomic criteria, as in the soil survey on the Land Capability Study (1969). Soil Series which were identified by subdividing Soil Families, were used as a mapping unit. In addition, the names of Soil Families and Soil Series were replaced by local equivalents in Brunei.

In this soil survey, 8 Great Soil Groups, namely Red-Yellow Podzolic Soils, Grey-White Podzolic Soils, Podzols, Alluvial Soils, Gley Soils, Regosols, Lithosols and Organic Soils were found. The 2 names in the soil survey on the Land Capability Study (indicated by ***** in the last chapter.) were replaced by Alluvial Soils and Lithosols respectively, and Saline Gley Soils were included in Gley Soils. On the other hand, Grey-White Podzolic Soils were newly identified as a result of a more detailed survey.

As the subdivided unit, 30 Soil Families and 66 Soil Series are classified in total. Each of them is defined as per Table 2. But among this large number of Soil Series, only 5 Red-Yellow Podzolic Soils (Bukit, Benutan, Pipit, Sukang and Gesah) and each of the following : Alluvial Soils, Gley Soils, Regosols and Organic Soils (Biadong, Tutong, Takalit and Anduki) are used as individual mapping units. Only 2 of the Alluvial Soils (Buau and Kukup), 2 of the Organic Soils (Keduan and Bebatik) and each of the Red-Yellow Podzolic Soils and Gley Soils (Rambai and Ungar) can be drawn in the form of a Soil Series Association. The others cannot be shown on the maps due to very limited distribution at the site. Sections of these maps are shown in Fig. 2.

Although this scheme was constructed only for the Labi area and the IRZ., it seems to be applicable to the other areas, except the Temburong District, because of resemblance to the soil distribution pattern on the soil maps of 1 : 100 000. In the Temburong District, a wider distribution of Saline Gley Soils, saline type of Organic Soils and Skeletal Soils can be found. Therefore, the establishment of other new Soil Series for these soils can be expected.

| Family | Series | Colour | Parent material | Landform | Texture | A ₁ cm | A Cr | | E c | — | Mapping unit | Remarks |
|---------|------------|----------------------|---|-----------------------------------|-----------|----------------------|---------|------|--------|-------|---------------------------|--|
| | | | | Red-Y | ellow Pod | zolic Soil | s | | | | | L |
| Bukit | Bukit | yel. Br. /br. Y. | Shale Mudstone Siltstone | Undulating to steep terrain | CL/C | <10 | 10< | < 30 | 10< | < 60 | BKT BKT-BTN BKT-PPT | |
| | Rambai | yel. Br. /br. Y. | Old riverine allvium | High riverine terraces | CL/C | <10 | 10< | < 30 | 10< | <60 | RMB-PPT | Limited distriribution in IRZ. |
| | Asin | str. Br. /red. Y. | Argillaceous High in iron content | | CL/C | <10 | 10< | < 30 | 10< | <60 | | Less extensive than Bukit Ser. |
| Benutan | Benutan | yel. Br. /br. Y. | Mixed Shales & sandstones | Undulating to steep | SCL | <10 | 20 < | <50 | 20< | <25 | BTN BKT-BTN BTN-SKN | |
| | Pipit | yel. Br. /br. Y. | Old riverine alluvium | High level terraces | SCL | <10 | 20< | <50 | 20< | <25 | PPT BKT-PPT RMB-PPT | Higher exchangeable base. Rarely recorded. |
| | Dungun | str. Br. /red. Y. | Mixed Shales & sandstones High in iron content | Upland sites | SCL | <10 | 20< | <50 | 20< | <25 | | Sporadically recorded in IRZ. |
| Sukang | Sukang | yel. Br. /br. Y. | Sandstone | Undulating to hilly terrain | SL / SCL | <10 | 20 < | <50 | 50< | < 100 | SKN BTN-SKN | |
| | Banggarang | yel. Br. /br. Y. | Old riverine alluvium | Terrace remnant | SL / SCL | <10 | 20< | <50 | 50< | <100 | | Rarely recorded. |
| | Ukong | str. Br. /red. Y. | Sandstone | | SL / SCL | <10 | 20< | <50 | 50< | <100 | | Very rarely recorded. |
| | Gesah | yel. Br. /br. Y. | Sandstone | Steeper slope>20° | SL | <10 | 20< | < 50 | 50< | <100 | GSH | Rarely recorded. |

Table 2. Soil Series in Brunei Darussalam from the Soil Map for the Brunei Agricultural and Forestry Development Study (1982)

Table 2. (Continued)

| Family | Series | Colour | Parent material | Landform | Texture | A1 cm | A ₂ cm | B cm | Mapping unit | Remarks |
|----------|-----------------|--|---------------------------------|--|--|------------|----------------------|----------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Apak | Apak | 1. Gr. /br. Y. | Sandstone | Undulating to hilly terrain | SL/SL or SCL | <10 | 10< <30 | 30< <100 | | Rarely encountered. |
| | J | | L | Grey- | White Poc | lzolic Soi | ls | * | 4 | |
| Jamaling | Jamaling | 1. Gr. | Shale | Upland sites | CL / C | | | | | |
| Biang | Biang | 1. Gr. | Mixed shales & sandstones | Upland sites | SCL/SC | | | | | |
| Tinjang | _ | 1. Gr. | Mixed shales & sandstones | Upland sites | SL/SCL | | | | | |
| | Tinjang | 1. Gr. | Sandstone | Upland sites | SL or c. SL | | | | | |
| Liang | Abang Liang | 1. Gr. 1. Gr. | Alluvium / Shale Alluvium | Riverine terrace Riverine terrace | SL / C SL / C | | | | | |
| | | | 1 | | Podzo | ls | t | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| Telisai | Ubok Telisai | 1. Gr. /Bl. /Y. 1. Gr. / B1. /pl. Y. or 1. Gr. | Sandstone Alluvium | Upland sites Terrace | S or LS /SL /SL S or LS /SL /SL | | | | | |
| Ingai | Ingai Mapol | 1. Gr. /Bl. /Y. 1. Gr. /Bl. /pl. Y. or 1. Gr. | Sandstone Alluvium | Upland sites Terrace | S or LS /SL /SL S or LS /SL /SL | | | | | |

An Outline of Soil Surveys Conducted in Brunei Darussalam (MARUYAMA) 1 $\overline{95}$

| Family | Series | Colour | Parent material | Landform | Texture | A ₁ cm | A ₂ cm | B cm | n | Mapping unit | Remarks |
|------------|----------------|---|--------------------------|---|---|----------------------|----------------------|------------|------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Sewat | Sewat Purau | 1. Gr. /d. red. Br. /Y. or yel. Br. 1. br. Gr. or v. pl. Br. /d. red. Br. /Y.or yel.Br. | Sandstone Sandstone | Flat to undulating upland Upland sites | S or LS / SL / SL LS / SL / SL | <10 | 20< <30 20< <30 | 20< 20< | <60 <60 | | Only at one point in IRZ |
| | | - | | | Alluvial S | Soils | | | | | |
| Biadong | Biadong | yel. Br. (mottled) | Argillaceous alluvium | Riverbank Levee Bottomland | С | < 10 | | 50< | <100 | BDG BDG-BUU BDG-TTN | |
| | Asam | red. Y. (mottled) | Argillaceous alluvium | Riverbank Levee Bottomland | C | <10 | | 50< | < 100 | | |
| Buau | Buau | yel. Br. | Riverine alluvium | Riverbank Levee Bottomland | SCL / SC | | | | | BDG-BUU BUU-KKP | Less extensive than Biadong Ser. |
| | Mendaram | yel. Br. | Riverine alluvium | Riverbank Levee Bottomland | SL/SCL | | | | | | Less extensive than Biadong Ser. |
| | Kukup | yel. Br. | Riverine alluvium | Riverbank Levee Bottomland | SL | | | | | BUU-KKP | Less extensive than Biadong Ser. |
| Merangking | Merangking | yel. Br. | Riverine alluvium | Riverbank Levee Bottomland | S | Very thin | | | | | Very minor soils. |

Table 2. (Continued)

- 96 -

Table 2. (Continued)

| Family | Series | Colour | Parent material | Landform | Texture | A ₁ cm | | A: cn | - | B Ci | m | Mapping unit | Remarks |
|----------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|--|--------------|----------------------|----|----------|-----|-----------|------|--------------------------------------|---|
| Terawan | Tapang | yel. Br. | Riverine alluvium | Bottomland | C /S or L | | | | | | | | Contrasting texture profile. |
| | Tajok | yel. Br. | Riverine alluvium | Bottomland | CL /S | | | | | | | | Contrasting texture profile. |
| | Kanapol | yel. Br. | Riverine alluvium | Bottomland | SL /C | | | | | | | | Contrasting texture profile. |
| | Terawan | yel. Br. | Riverine alluvium | Bottomland | S /CL or C | | | | | | | | Contrasting texture profile. |
| Muara | Muara | Y. | Beach deposit | Beach | S or LS | | | | | | | | |
| | • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • | | <u> </u> | | Gley So | oils | 1 | | | · · · · · | | L | |
| Tutong | Tutong | 1. Gr. (mottled) | Riverine alluvium | Floodplain Bottomland Fringe of peat area | С | 3< < | 30 | 20< | <50 | 40< | <60 | TTN TTN-UNG TTN-KDN BDG-TTN | No surface peat or muck. Subsoil C. |
| | Singap | 1. Gr. | Riverine alluvium | | C | 3< < | 30 | 20< | <50 | 40< | < 60 | | Surface peat or muck. High water table. |
| | Damit | d. Gr. | Alluvium | | C | | | | | | | | Unripe subsoil. Very minor importance. |
| | Kijang | d. Gr. | Alluvium | | С | | | | | | | | Unripe profiles with an organic surface layer. Very minor importance. |
| Tempinak | Tempinak | 1. Gr. (mottled) | Riverine alluvium | Bottomland | SCL | 3< < | 30 | 20 < | <50 | 40< | <60 | | No surface peat or muck. Subsoil CL or SCL. Sporadically recorded. |
| | Ungar | d. Gr. | Alluvium | | SCL | | | | | | | TTN-UNG | Surface organic layer. Unripe subsoil. Only at one point in IRZ. |
| | Balai | 1. Gr. (mottled) | Alluvium | Bottomland | SL | | | | | | | | No surface peat or muck. Not so extensive. |

| Family | Series | Colour | Parent material | Landform | Texture | A ₁ cm | A₂ cm | B cm | Mapping unit | Remarks |
|----------|----------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|--------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|------------|-----------------|--|
| Rampayoh | Rampayoh Merimbun | | Riverine alluvium Riverine alluvium | Small valley Gully Small valley Gully | S or LS S or LS | | | | | No surface peat or muck. Not so extensive. Surface peat or muck (<25cm) Not so extensive. |
| Gatas | Tesilin | 1. Gr. | Riverine alluvium | Bottomland | C / S | | | | | Contrasting texture profile. Peat or muck in lower layer. Locally important in Labi. |
| | Kandol | 1. Gr. | Riverine alluvium | Bottomland | CL /S | | | | | Contrasting texture profile. Peat or muck in lower layer. Locally important in Labi. |
| | Luagan | 1. Gr. | Riverine alluvium | Bottomland | SL / C | | | | | Contrasting texture profile. Peat or muck in lower layer. Locally important in Labi. |
| | Gatas | 1. Gr. | Riverine alluvium | Bottomland | S / CL or C | | | | | Contrasting texture profile. Peat or muck in lower layer. Locally important in Labi. |
| Ratan | Ratan | 1. Gr. (mottled) | Riverine alluvium & organic | Bottomland Swamp margin | С | Clay hori Subsurfa at least | ce peat laye | <100 er | | Contrasting texture profile. Important in Labi. |
| Buaya | Buaya | Gr. | | Depression | S | | | | | No surface peat or muck. |
| | Pantai | to 1. Gr. Gr. to 1. Gr. | | in coast Depression in coast | S | | | | | Surface peat or muck. |

Table 2. (Continued)

| Family | Series | Colour | Parent material | Landform | Texture | A ₁ cm | A ₂ cm | B cm | Mapping unit | Remarks |
|---------|---------|--------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------|-----------------|--|
| | L | | | -J | Regoso | ols | L.,, | - I | | <u> </u> |
| Tungku | Tungku | Υ. | Sandstone | Upland sites | S | | | | | |
| | Ara | Y. | Old alluvium | Riverine terrace | S | | | | | |
| Takalit | Takalit | 1. Gr. | Sandstone | Very steep disected terrain | S | Top soil | thin | | TKL | |
| | Nyatan | 1. Gr. | Old alluvium | Riverine or marine | S | Top soil | thin | | | |
| | Penaga | 1. Gr. | Old marine | terrace Marine terrace | S | | | | | Podzol Bh horizon present below 1m. |
| | | | | | Lithoso | ols | | | | |
| Puan | Puan | br. Y. | Shale or sandstone | Steeper main ridge | CSL | Soil <25 | cm | | | Gravelly loam overlying sandstone or conglomerate. Rarely extensive. |
| Andulau | Audulau | br. Y. | Shale | Steep slope | С | Soil <25 | cm | | | On State's borders. |
| Bunit | Bunit | br. Y. | Shale (residual) | Hill slope | C | Soil >25 | cm (Grave | 1 >50%) | | |
| | Lakang | br. Y. | Shale (colluvial) | Colluvial fan | С | Soil >25 | cm (Grave | el >50%) | | |
| | Supon | br. Y. | Shale and sandstone (colluvial) | Colluvial fan | CL, SL, or S | Soil >25 | cm (Grave | 1 >50%) | | Very minor, noted only in Labi. |

| Family | Series | Colour | Parent material | Landform | Texture | A ₁ cm | A ₂ cm | B cm | Mapping unit | Remarks |
|--------|-----------------|----------|---|--|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------|---|---|
| | | | 1 | | Organic S | Soils | | • | | |
| Keduan | Keduan Medit | | Peat Muck | Riverine bottomland Riverine bottomland | | Peat <1 Muck<1 | | | TTN-KDN | On the margins of major swamps. Not found in the main swamp. |
| Sibut | Sibut Sugo | | Peat Muck | | | Peat <11 Muck<1 | | | | Inland margin of coastal beach zone. Not extensive in inland. Not extensive in inland. |
| Anduki | Anduki | | Peat | Coastal swamp plain | | Peat >1 | | | AND AND-BBT AND-BKT AND-TTN AND-ALL | Covered widely by peat swamp forest. Very acid, Very low in plant nutrient. |
| | Bebatik | | Muck | Interior river valley | S or C (subsoil) | Muck>1 | m | | AND-BBT | Sporadically recorded in IRZ. |
| 1 | ABBREVI | ATIONS : | Br. : Brown Y. : Yellow Gr. : Grey Bl. : Black | yel. : yellow br. : brown red. : reddis pl. : pale str. : strong l. : light d. : dark v. : very | iish h | : coars | se | | | |

Table 2. (Continued)

- 100 -

森林総合研究所研究報告 第366号



Fig. 2. Part of soil map in Brunei Agricultural and Forestry Development Study (1982)

5 Soil Survey and Soil Classification in the Proposed Plantation Area (1988)

In 1988 a JICA expert, Mr. Masamichi TAKAHASHI(1989), carried out a detailed soil survey in the Proposed Plantation Area in Compartment 7 of the Andulau Forest Reserve in order to obtain basic information for improvement of stand structure and productivity in this area. He surveyed an area of about 13ha and found 2 Great Soil Groups, namely Red-Yellow Podzolic Soils and Gley Soils. The former was classified into 8 mapping units, but the latter was not classified because of its very limited distribution. He drew a soil map for this area on a scale of 1 : 2 000. All the mapping units and the map are shown in Table 3 and Fig. 3 respectively.

In this survey, Red-Yellow Podzolic Soils are subdivided on the basis of colour, water regime and texture according to the Forest Soil Survey Method used in Japan. But in the Sarawak Classification, which was adopted in the Soil Survey of 1982, they were already subdivided into 11 Soil Series on the basis of colour and parent material. The difference in parent material causes the apparent difference in texture. Consequently, Mr. TAKAHASHI would have arrived at the same mapping units, if he had subdivided the Soil Series of Red-Yellow Podzolic Soils in the Sarawak Classification on the basis of water regime. He should have done so, if he expected a wider use of his survey report in Brunei Darassalam.

The correlation between TAKAHASHI's mapping units and supposed units gained by subdividing the Soil Series in to the Sarawak Classification is shown here.

| TAKAHASHI's unit | Supposed unit in the Sarawak System |
|------------------|--|
| RD-s | Dry type of Dungun [*] or Ukong |
| RD-c | Dry type of Asin |
| YD-s | Dry type of Benutan* or Sukang |
| YD-c | Dry type of Bukit |
| YM-s | Moist type of Benutan* or Sukang |
| YM-c | Moist type of Bukit |
| | |

Table 3. Soil classification keys and mapping units in Compartment 7

| 1. Soil classif | ication keys |
|-----------------|---|
| Colour of | subsoil |
| Red | Colour above 50cm is more reddish than 7.5YR 7/8. |
| Yellow | Colour below 50cm is more yellowish than 7.5YR 7/8. |
| Water re | gime |
| Dry | A horizon or upper part of B horizon is dry and well devoloped nutty or angular blocky structure is found in this part. |
| Moist | A horizon or upper part of B horizon is moist and moderately developed structure is found. |
| Wet | Upper part of B horizon is wet or grayish mottles are found upper than 50cm. |
| Texture | |
| Clay | Upper part of B horizon is clayey. |
| Silt - Sand | Upper part of B horizon is silty to sandy. |

2. Soil mapping units found in the surveyed area in Compartment 7

| | Colour | Water | Texture | | Topography | Structure | Remarks |
|------|--------|--------|-----------|----------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|---|
| | | regime | Surface | Upper B | | | |
| RD-s | red | dry | SiL | SiL | ridge | nutty angular blocky | Reddish weathered sandstone and tube hard ferricrete are sometimes found. |
| RD-c | red | dry | SiL ∼S | CL ~C | narrow ridge logging tractor trail | nutty | Reddish weathered sandstone and tube hard ferricrete are sometimes found. |
| YD-s | yellow | dry | SiL | SiL | broad ridge | nutty blocky | |
| YD-c | yellow | dry | SiL ∼L | CL | upper part of gentle slope | procity | |
| YM-s | yellow | moist | SiL | SiL | middle part of gentle slope | | |
| YM-c | yellow | moist | CL | CL ~C | middle part of steep slope | | |
| YW-c | yellow | wet | SiL ~C | c | head of a river | 2 | |
| ER | yellow | moist | | CL | very steep riverside slope | | A horizon is eroded. |
| G | yellow | wet | SiL | SiL | river bed, wide valley and swamp | | |

-102 -



Fig. 3. Soil map of trial plantation site in the Proposed Plantation Area. Soils are classified into nine types. RD-s, RD-c, YD-s, YD-c, YM-s, YM-c, YW-c, Er and G soil types. (after TAKAHASHI *et al.* 1989)

| Yw | -c Wet type of Bukit |
|----|---------------------------------|
| ER | Eroded moist type of Bukit |
| G | Tempinak |
| | * indicates higher probability. |

6 A Comment on the Classification System of Soils

A good many civilized countries in the world have their own soil classification system because the soils are influenced by climatic, geological and vegetational factors unique to that country. But such a situation is inconvenient for world-wide interest and correlation. Therefore, 2 world-wide classification systems, namely, the USDA Soil Taxonomy (1975) and the FAO-UNESCO Soil Map Legend (1974) were proposed in the 1970's.

The USDA Soil Taxonomy was originally proposed to classify soils in U.S.A., and may not necessarily be suitable in finer respects for other regions. Many problems exist in the recognition of diagnostic horizons for Soil Order placement. For instance, Red-Yellow Podzolic Soils in Brunei may be classified into 3 Soil Orders, namely, *Inceptisol, Ultisol* and *Oxisol*, by this system, although most of them are expected to be classified into *Ultisol*. In addition, it is necessary to use unfamiliar technical terms in order to classify soils according to this system.

The FAO-UNESCO Soil Map Legend provides less problems for soil classification in Brunei,

because it aims essentially at world-wide classification. As for Red-Yellow Podzolic Soils, a similar problem arises as with the USDA Soil Taxonomy. Most may not be classified into *Acrisol* but into *Cambisol*. On the other hand, Alluvial Soils, Gley Soils and Lithosols in Brunei coincide broadly with *Gleysols, Fluvisols* and *Lithosols*, respectively, in the FAO-UNESCO system. But in this system there are only taxonomic units of higher levels, namely, Unit and Sub-unit. We can find no taxonomic units suitable for the description of soil distribution on such a regional scale as in Brunei.

In the Sarawak System which was adopted in the 2 soil surveys mentioned above, Soil Series were used as the basic taxonomic unit. They were used at first in soil surveys conducted by the United States Department of Agriculture in the 1930's and later in England, Australia, etc. According to private information obtained from a soil scientist in Malaysia, an almost identical system is used for soil surveys on the Malay Peninsula. First of all, we should aim to correlate the soils in Brunei with those of the neighbouring countries. In this respect, it seems wise to have adopted the Sarawak System in the 2 soil surveys carried out in Brunei. In the Brunei Agricultural and Forestry Development Study some tables are provided to enable world-wide correlation of the soils in Brunei classifications with the USDA Soil Taxonomy and the FAO-UNESCO World Soil Map Legend. These will be useful when considering the soils of Brunei from a world-wide viewpoint.

References

- BLACKBURN, G. and BAKER, R. M. : A Soil Survey of Brunei, British Borneo. Soils and Land Use Series No. 25. Division of Soils, Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organization, Melbourne, Australia,(1958)
- Hunting Technical Services Ltd. : Land Capability Study. Hunting Technical Services LTD., Herts, England,(1969)
- TAKAHASHI, M. : Forest Soil Survey Report in Brunei Darussalam. Forest Research Note in Brunei Darussalam No. 4. Forestry Department of Brunei Darussalam and JICA Forestry Research Project, Bandar Seri Begawan, Brunei Darussalam,(1989)
- ULG Consultants Ltd. : Brunei Agricultural and Forestry Development Study. ULG Consultants Ltd. through Brunei Shell Petroleum Co. Ltd., Bandar Seri Begawan, Brunei Darussalam, (1982)

An Outline of Soil Surveys Conducted in Brunei Darussalam (MARUYAMA) - 105 -

総説-ブルネイダルサラームの土壌調査-

丸山明雄(1)

摘 要

造林事業を実行するためには、基礎資料として土壌調査報告、土壌図が必要である。ブルネイダルサ ラール国では、このために現在4種類の土壌調査報告が利用できる。『英領ボルネオ、ブルネイの土壌調 査(1958)』はバンダル・スリ・ブガワンの北東部とトゥトン河下流との2地域を対象とし、前者につい て5万分の1土壌図が描かれた。残念ながらこの図の対象地域はほぼすべてが人口改変地であり、今で は分析データ以外はほとんど意味がない。『土地利用可能性調査(1969)』には3枚の土壌図が含まれ、縮 尺10万分の1でほぼ全国土を覆っている。このように全国土を覆う土壌図は他にないので、役に立つ存 在である。『ブルネイ農林業開発調査(1982)』には、ラビ地域と河間地域とを対象に12500分の1・250000 分の1・50000分の1土壌図が含まれ、全国土の約10分の1の調査が完了している。これらはこの国で公 刊された最大の縮尺の土壌図である。『Proposed Plantation Areaにおける土壌調査(1989)』は、13haを 対象とした2000分の1の土壌図を含んでいる。この図は対象面積が狭いため実用的な価値はあまりない が、林業経営のための大縮尺土壌図の例として意味がある。これら4種の土壌図についてそれぞれの長 所・短所を述べ、あわせてこれらの土壌調査に採用された土壌分類体系の利害得失についても言及した。