Clones in Relation to Resistance to Ozone

By

Toshirou SUMIZONO⁽¹⁾ and Takao INOUE⁽²⁾

Summary : Cuttings of four poplar clones (Kamabuchi, Populus nigra $\times P$. maximowiczii; NR 84, P. eucalyptus NR 84; NR 6, P. wettstein NR 6; and OP 29, P. charkowiensis × P. trichocarpa) grown with three different nutrient conditions were exposed to ozone at concentrations of 0.25 and 0.5 ppm in a controlled environment chamber, and the relationships between differences in O3 resistance and responses of gas exchange to O3 were studied. Estimating O_3 resistance on the basis of visible foliar injury, NR 6 and OP 29 were more resistant than NR 84 and Kamabuchi, and also the cuttings with better nutrient condition in each clone were more sensitive. The responses of gas exchange to O_3 were tested for NR6 and Kamabuchi. After exposure of the plants to O₃, the rate of photosynthesis and O_3 uptake decreased progressively in Kamabuchi leaves but NR 6 leaves did not show significant changes. The decrease in photosynthesis of Kamabuchi leaves did not depend on stomatal closure. The total amount of O3 uptake was much larger in Kamabuchi than in NR 6 also O3 uptake was greater in better nutrient conditions. The relationship between O3 uptake and gas diffusion resistance of leaves was analyzed. The analysis may indicate that the mesophyll resistance depends partially on nutrient conditions. The results also suggest that resistant NR 6 clone could avoid O₃ stress by maintenance of higher stomatal resistance compared with sensitive Kamabuchi clone.

Introduction

Ozone is a main component of photochemical oxidants and a toxic gas to plants. The leaf is a very sensitive organ in plant regarding responses to O_8 as well as other toxic gases like SO₂ and NO₂. The responses include visible foliar injury such as necrosis or leaf abscission and invisible foliar injury involving physiological or biological lesions. In general, the visible injury has been used as a basis for determining the resistance of plant species to toxic gases. The intra- and inter-specific differences in resistance to O_8 have been well documented⁵⁰¹⁵⁾²⁰¹. In our preliminary experiment, it was observed that the resistance in poplar cuttings to O_8 differed among clones. On the other hand, it is known that the O_8 resistance also varied with the environmental factors such as soil moisture, soil nutrient, light and temperature¹¹⁰¹⁶⁰²⁰¹. Prior to the occurrence of visible injury caused by O_8 , the physiological changes in photosynthesis¹⁰³⁰⁸¹⁹¹⁵⁰, transpiration⁹¹⁵⁰ or stomatal aperture⁹¹⁸¹⁷¹⁹¹⁸⁰¹⁸⁰ were observed, and some of the reports mention the relation between the physiological changes, particularly stomatal behavior and the resistance to $O_3^{2191151}$. However, the understanding of the mechanism of resistance to O_8 is still fragmentary.

The present paper reports the physiological foliar responses of some poplar clones grown under different nutrient conditions in relation to O_8 resistance.

Materials and methods

(1) Plant materials

Cuttings of four poplar clones (Kamabuchi, Populus nigra $\times P$. maximowiczii; NR 84, P. eucalyptus NR 84; NR 6, P. wettstein NR 6; and OP 29, P. charkowiensis $\times P$. trichocarpa) were used in O₃ exposure experiment. The scions were collected at the clone banks of Kameyama Breeding Station, Oji Institute for Forest Tree Improvement, in Kameyama City and of Forest

Table	1.	Standa	rd	composition	of
nutrient solution.					

Salts used	Contents (g/l)	Concentr of elem (ppm	ation ents)
$(NH_4)_2SO_4$	0.0943	N	40
NaNO ₃	0.0911	P ₂ O ₅	25
Ca(NO ₃) ₂	0.0293	K ₂ O	30
KH₂PO₄	0.0472	CaO	20
KCl	0.0261	MgO	10
CaCl ₂	0.0193	Fe ₂ O ₃	2
$MgSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$	0,0615		
$FeC_6H_\delta O_7 \cdot 3H_2O$	0.0066		

(pH of solution=6.2)

half of the standard, and (3) no nutrients added.

(2) Ozone exposure system

Experiment Station of Tokyo Univ. in Tanashi City in the fall, 1980, and stored in a refrigerator. The following April, they were planted into pots filled with vermiculite. One gram of powder chemical fertilizer (UDS, N-P₂O₆-K₂O 5-5-5)per pot was added on the surface of medium.

The cuttings were grown in a green house for 2 months before O_8 exposure experiment. For 2 weeks prior to exposure, the cuttings of each clone were cultured under three different conditions of fertilization by adding 200 ml/pot/day of : (1) standard Tsu-TSUMM'S nutrient solution (Table 1), (2) one-

The cuttings of poplar clones were set in a controlled environment chamber and exposed to O_3 under the condition of 27°C, 75% RH and 50 Klux. The lighting source consisted of mercury lamps, metal halide lamps and fluorescent lamps. The concentration of ozone in the chamber was monitored continuously by an O_3 -analyzer (Monitor Labs, Model 8401) with a chemiluminescent detector, and controlled by the combination of the gas analyzer and the massflow meter regulating O_3 flow rate supplied to the chamber. The source of ozone was generated by the electrical discharge of oxygen.

(3) Determination of visible foliar injury

Visible foliar injury (necrosis) was observed after the seedlings were exposed to O_8 . With these seedlings, the degree of injury was determined by the following calculation.

Degree of injury=
$$\frac{1 \times N_1 + 2 \times N_2 + 3 \times N_8 + 4 \times N_4 + 5 \times N_6}{5 \times N}$$

(per whole plant)

 $N : Number of leaves(=N_0+N_1+N_2+N_3+N_4+N_5)$

0 : No injury

1 : Injury≦10% of leaf area

2:11%-25%

3:26%-50%

4:51%-75%

5:76%-100%

The degree of injury in each clone was expressed as the average value of the six to eight cuttings per clone used in an exposure experiment.

- 36 -



Fig. 1. Schematic diagram of the apparatus for measuring photosynthesis, transpiration and ozone uptake rate of a single leaf.

A: SO2, B: Massflow, C: Gas control unit, D: O2, E: Ozone generator,

F: Soda lime, G: Pump, H: Mixing chamber, I: CO2, J: Lamp,

K: Water filter, L : Assimilation chamber, M : Hygrometer, N : Recorder,

O:Flow meter, $P:O_3$ analyzer, $Q:SO_2$ analyzer, $R:CO_2$ analyzer,

S: Silica gel, T: Thermocouple.

(4) Measurement of gas exchange (O₈ uptake, photosynthesis and transpiration)

The gas exchange was measured for detached mature leaves which are sensitive to O_8 . The instrument for this experiment was set to measure simultaneously the rates of O_8 uptake, photosynthesis and transpiration (Fig. 1). The petiole of a single leaf was inserted into a small vessel filled with air-free water to avoid air blocks and the leaf was set in an assimilation chamber made of glass cylinder with 30 cm of length and 6 cm of diameter. Under lighting and air flow with or without O_8 , the differences in concentrations of O_8 , CO_2 and water vapor between the inlet and outlet of the chamber were measured for O_8 uptake, photosynthesis and transpiration, respectively. Previously, the rate of O_8 absorption and decomposition caused by the contact with walls of chamber was measured by the same system without plant materials and subtracted as a background value. The measurements were run continuously by O_8 analyzer (Monitor Labs, Model 8401), infra-red gas analyzer (Fuji Electric, Model ZSB-Z) and hygrometer (ACE, Model AR-YBL).

Results and discussion

Exposure to 0.25 and 0.5 ppm O_8 for 5 and 10 hours caused visible foliar injury. Typical symptoms were recognized as flecks with black or brown color on the adaxial surface of leaf. The flecks occurred on various parts of a leaf, i. e., along the vein or at the top and base of the leaf. As the degree of visible injury progressed, the symptom advanced from flecks to necrotic stains. The injury clearly varied with leaf age. The necrosis was observed with mature leaves much more severely than with expanding immature leaves as many investigators had reported⁶⁾¹²⁾¹⁴⁾. The degree of injury differed among clones and among

Clones	Nutrient conditions	O ₈ concentration exposed (ppm)			
		0.25		0, 50	
		Exposure time (hours)			
		5	10	5	10
Kamabuchi	Standard	0.04	0.13	0.21	0.29
	One-half of standard	0,05	0.11	0.16	0.20
	No addition of minerals	0	0.06	0.04	0.07
NR 84	Standard	0,01	0,05	0,06	0.07
	One-half of standard	0,01	0.04	0.02	0,02
	No addition of minerals	0	0	0.01	0.02
NR 6	Standard	0	0	0	0.04
	One-half of standard	0	0	0	0.02
	No addition of minerals	0	0	0	0
OP 29	Standard	0	0	0.01	0.02
	One-half of standard	0	0	0.02	0.06
	No addition of minerals	0	0	0	0

Table 2. Foliar injuries caused by 0.25 ppm and 0.5 ppm ozone.

nutrient conditions (Table 2). Kamabuchi and NR 84 were injured more severely than NR 6 and OP 29, and in all of those clones, the cuttings grown with the standard nutrient solution were injured most severely and the cuttings grown with tap water alone showed slight or no injury. Judging from the degree of visible foliar injury, Kamabuchi and NR 84 were sensitive to O₈, while NR 6 and OP 29 were resistant to O₈. The order of susceptibility among the clones was always same in different nutrient conditions, as the most susceptible clone in the standard nutrient condition showed the highest susceptibility in other nutrient conditions. In order to estimate the differences in O₈ resistance among poplar clones as associated with physiological foliar responses to Os, gas exchanges in detached mature leaves of Kamabuchi (sensitive clone) and NR6 (resistant clone) were measured. Figure 2 illustrates the typical examples of the time course in rate of photosynthesis, transpiration and O₃ uptake before, during and after exposure to O₈. In Kamabuchi, the apparent photosynthetic rate decreased markedly soon after start of O_8 exposure in any nutrient conditions, and the photosynthetic rate did not recover within 2 hours after the removal of O_8 (Fig. 2-a, b, c). Changes in O_3 uptake rate were similar to those of photosynthetic rate. However, the transpiration rate decreased gradually, and also generally the decrease in transpiration rate was detectable after the decreases in photosynthetic rate and O₈ uptake rate were apparent. All of the decreases occurred without visible injury, as observed in white pine¹⁾. In NR 6, on the other hand, the changes in these rates were not significant in most measured samples, except for a few examples in which the rate of photosynthesis and transpiration decresed during O₈ exposure but recovered soon after the removal of O_{8} , as shown in Fig. 2-d to 2-f. Rates of both O_{8} uptake and photosynthesis at the starting time of O₃ exposure were much higher in Kamabuchi than those in NR 6, for the same nutrient condition (Fig. 2). The fact reflects that total amounts of O₈ uptake in Kamabuchi leaves were about 2 times of those in NR 6 leaves, although the O8 uptake rate decreased soon after starting O_8 exposure (Table 3). Also, among different nutrient conditions, the rates of both O₈ uptake and photosynthesis and the total amount of O₈ uptake were higher

- 38 -



Fig. 2. Time course in rate of photosynthesis, transpiration and ozone uptake. × : Photosynthetic rate (mg CO₂·dm⁻²·hr⁻¹) ●: Transpiration (g H₂O·dm⁻²·hr⁻¹) ○: Ozone uptake rate (µg O₃·dm⁻²·hr⁻¹)

39 |

林業試験場研究報告 第336号

Clones	Nutrient condition			O ₈ uptake	
		$\gamma_a + \gamma_s$ (sec · cm ⁻¹)	r_m (sec·cm ⁻¹)	rate (µg · dm ⁻² · hr ⁻¹)	amount (µg · dm ⁻²)
Kamabuchi	Standard	4.4~ 7.5	8.0~12.1	133~147	282
	One-half of standard	5.5~ 8.6	12.6~18.3	51~122	182
	No addition of minerals	6.4~ 9.6	14.8~34.5	51~100	123
NR 6	Standard	7.0~14.9	9.0~10.5	60~ 84	160
	One-half of standard	11.0~16.6	11.0~16.0	$41\sim77$	96
	No addition of minerals	7.2~11.6	19.0~110.0	24~ 78	68

Table 3. The value of $r_a + r_s$ and $r_{m'}$ ozone uptake rate and amount of ozone absorbed.

 $\begin{pmatrix} r_a : boundary layer resistance \\ r_a : stomatal resistance \\ r_m : mesophyll resistance \end{pmatrix}$



Fig. 3. Relationship between ozone uptake rate and the rate of photosynthesis or transpiration in Kamabuchi.



Fig. 4. Relationship between ozone uptake rate and the rate of photosynthesis or transpiration in NR 6.

in cuttings with better nutrient conditions. Figure 3 and 4 show the relationship between O_8 uptake rate and the rate of photosynthesis or transpiration, using all the data of time course shown in Fig. 2. The correlation between O_3 uptake and photosynthesis was higher than that between O_3 uptake and transpiration. The results indicate that the change in O_3 uptake rate in both clones of Kamabuchi and NR 6 may not depend on stomatal closure, though ozone is taken up mostly through stomata under light irradiation.

The reports by HILL et al.¹³⁾ and FURUKAWA et al.⁹⁾ showed that the decrease in photosynthetic rate induced by O₈ exposure may result from stomatal closure. On the other hand, COYNE et al.³⁾⁴⁾ observed that the loss in photosynthetic capacity exceeded the decrease in stomatal conductance, suggesting that injury to mesophyll cells or carboxylation of components of CO_2 diffusion pathway was greater than injury to stomata. The result in this study also suggests that the remarkable decrease in photosynthetic rate in Kamabuchi clone would not result from the stomatal factor (Fig. 2), and that the decrease of O_8 uptake rate also would occur in relation with the inhibition of photosynthetic system in a mesophyll cell. In general, there is no doubt that the resistance of a leaf to toxic gases depends; firstly on the gas diffusion resistance from ambient air to mesophyll cells through stomata, controlling the uptake of gas into leaves, and secondly on the tolerance of cells to the uptaken gas. In this study, it is not able to demonstrate directly whether the difference of intra-specific resistance to O₈ depended on the difference of O₈ diffusion resistance or the tolerance of cells to O₈. However, as it is found that O₈ uptake rate had high correlation with photosynthetic rate (Fig. 3, 4), the difference in O_8 resistance will be estimated from the relationship between O_8 uptake and CO_2 diffusion resistance. The diffusion resistance of CO_2 in the pathway to chloroplast of mesophyll cells through stomata is calculated from the diffusion equation¹⁰) shown below, using the data of photosynthesis and transpiration.

$$r'_{a} + r'_{s} = \frac{W_{l} - W_{o}}{T}$$
$$r_{a} + r_{s} + r_{m} = \frac{C_{o} - C_{l}}{P}$$

where r'_a and r'_s are the boundary layer resistance and stomatal resistance to water vapor,

and r_a , r_s and r_m are the boundary layer resistance, stomatal resistance and mesophyll resistance to CO_2 . W_1 and W_0 are the water vapor concentration in the leaf and air, respectively. T is the transpiration rate. C_0 and C_1 are the CO₂ concentration in the air and chloroplast, respectively. And P is the photosynthetic rate. Also, the relationship between $r'_a + r'_a$ and r_a $+r_s$ is represented by the following formula,

$$r_a + r_s = \left(\frac{D_{\text{H_2O}}}{D_{\text{CO_2}}}\right) \cdot \left(r'_a + r'_s\right)$$

where D_{H_2O} and D_{CO_2} are the diffusion coefficient of water vapor and CO_2 in the air, respectively.

The calculated results are shown together with the values of O₈ uptake in Table 3. As the diffusion resistance at different times during O_3 exposure was calculated, the ranges of values in Table 3 indicate the maximum and minimum of diffusion resistance. The boundary layer resistance (r_a) was very small and was considered to be nearly constant under this experimental condition. Therefore, the stomatal resistance (r_s) is higher in NR 6 than in Kamabuchi, and nearly in the same range for every nutrient condition in each clone. In contrast, the mesophyll resistance (r_m) is lower with better nutrient conditions with which more ozone was taken up. Accordingly, it is indicated that the differences of O₈ uptake between both clones and among nutrient conditions depend on the stomatal resistance and the mesophyll resistance, respectively. Such a difference in mesophyll resistance would be related to physiological difference induced by different nutrient conditions, for example, as shown in the NoLAND's report¹⁸⁾ in which the loss of resistance to O_8 resulted from stomatal opening induced by osmotic action of potassium ion in guard cells induced by enriched potassium fertilizer. However, the experimental conditions used here were not enough to discuss the relationship between the resistance to O₈ and the action of nutrients. TAYLOR¹⁹⁾ and KIMMERER et al.¹⁷) suggested that stomatal closure or continuous maintenance of lower stomatal conductance during the exposure to toxic gas is characteristic of avoidance to air pollution stress. The results of FURUKAWA et al.⁹⁾ with attached leaves of poplar cuttings show that ozone exposure caused stomatal closure for resistant clones, differing from clones used here. In this study, however, stomatal closure during O₈ exposure was mostly not observed in the resistant \geqslant poplar clone used here, supporting the result¹⁵⁹ with bean cultivars that stomatal closure was not a primary factor to O₈ resistance. Therefore, it is concluded that the resistant NR 6 clone 9 2 could avoid O₈ stress by the maintenance of lower stomatal conductance (higher stomatal resistance) compared with the sensitive Kamabuchi clone, and that for the difference due to nutrient conditions, mesophyll resistance would be a main factor inducing the avoidance of O₈ stress. However the above different results for stomatal behavior of different resistant poplar clones will have to be studied in the two following areas; whether it resulted from clonal difference or from the difference between detached and attached leaves.

Ye

Acknowledgement

The authors wish to express their thanks to Dr. S. ASAKAWA and Dr. S. SASAKI, Forestry and Forest Products Research Institute, for their advice.

References

1) BOTKIN, D. B., W. H. SMITH, and R. W. CARLSON: Ozone supression of white pine net photosynthesis, J. Air Poll. Control Assoc., 21, 778~780, (1971)

- 2) BUTLER, L. K. and T. W. TIBBITTS : Stomatal mechanisms determining genetic resistance to ozone in *Phaseolus vulgaris* L., J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci., 104, 213~216, (1979)
- 3) COYNE, P. I. and G. E. BINGHAM : Comparative ozone dose response of gas exchange in a ponderosa pine stand exposed to long-term fumigations, J. Air Poll. Control Assoc., 31, 38 \sim 41, (1981)
- 4) COYNE, P. I. and G. E. BINGHAM: Variation in photosynthesis and stomatal conductance in an ozone-stressed ponderosa pine stand: Light response, Forest Sci., 28, 257~273, (1982)
- 5) DAVIS, D. D. and H. D. GERHOLD : Selection of trees for tolerance of air pollutants, USDA Forest Serv. Gen. Tech. Rep. NE-22, 61~66, (1976)
- 6) DAVIS, D. D. and F. A. Wood: The relative susceptibility of eighteen coniferous species to ozone, Phytopathology, 62, 14~19, (1972)
- 7) DUGGER, W. M., O. C. TAYLAR, E. CARDIFF, and C. R. THOMPSON : Stomatal action in plants as related to damage from photochemical oxidants, Plant Physiol., 37, 487~491, (1962)
- FURUKAWA A. and M. KADOTA : Effect of ozone on photosynthesis and respiration in poplar leaves, Environ. Control in Biol., 13, 1~7, (1975)
- 9) FURUKAWA, A., M. KATASE, T. USHIJIMA, and T. TOTSUKA: Inhibition of photosynthesis of poplar species by ozone, J. Jap. For. Soc., 65, 321~326, (1983)
- 10) GAASTRA, P. : Photosynthesis of crop plants as influenced by light, carbon dioxide, temperature, and stomatal diffusion resistance, Meded. Landbouwhogesch. Wageningen, 59, $1 \sim 68$, (1959)
- HECK, W. W.: Factors influencing expression of oxidant damage to plants, Ann. Rev. Phytopathol., 6, 165~188, (1968)
- 12) HIBBEN, C. R.: Ozone toxicity to sugar maple, Phytopathology, 59, 1423~1428, (1969)
- HILL, A. C. and N. LITTLEFIELD: Ozone effect on apparent photosynthesis, rate of transpiration, and stomatal closure in plants, Environ. Sci. & Technol., 3, 52~56, (1969)
- 14) HILL, A. C., M. R. PACK, M. TRESHOW, R. J. DOWNS, and L. G. TRANSTRUM: Plant injury induced by ozone, Phytopathology, 51, 356~363, (1961)
- 15) HUCL, P., W. D. BEVERSDORF, and B. D. MCKERSIE: Relationship of leaf parameters with genetic ozone insensitivity in selected *Phaseolus vulgaris* cultivars, Can. J. Bot., 60, 2187~ 2191, (1982)
- INOUE, T.: Fumigation studies on the effects of air pollution on trees in Japan, Proceedings of the XVII IUFRO World Congress, Div. 2, 377~380, (1981)
- 17) KIMMERER, T. W. and T. T. Kozlowski: Stomatal conductance and sulfur uptake of five clones of *Populus tremuloides* exposed to sulfur dioxide, Plant Physiol., 67, 990~995, (1981)
- NOLAND, T. L. and T. T. KOZLOWSKI : Influence of potassium nutrition on susceptibility of silver maple to ozone, Can. J. For., 9, 502~503, (1979)
- TAYLOR, G. E. Jr. : Plant and leaf resistance to gaseous air pollution stress, New Phytol., 80, 523~534, (1978)
- 20) TRESHOW, M.: Ozone damage to plants, Environ. Pollut., 1, 155~161, (1970)

- 43 --

オゾンに対する抵抗性差異に関連した

ポプラクローンのガス交換反応

角 園 敏 郎"•井 上 敞 雄"

摘 要

オゾンは近年発生している光化学オキシダントの主要な成分であり、樹木に可視的あるいは不可視的な 被害をおこす。これらの被害は、植物の種類や生長状態によって違うことが知られており、また不可視害 でも生長低下につながることもある。本報では、栄養条件を変えて育てた4種のポプラクローン(カマブ チ,NR84,NR6,OP29)に、0.25 ppmと0.5 ppmのオゾン接触を環境制御室で行い、葉面可視被害 の発現による抵抗性と、可視被害に先立ってみられる葉のガス交換反応への影響を調べた。

オゾン処理により葉に可視被害が生じ、その程度はクローン間および栄養条件の違いによって異なった。被害程度から抵抗性を評価すると、NR 84 とカマブチに比べて NR 6 と OP 29 は抵抗性が高く、また、どのクローンにおいても、栄養条件の良い区の個体ほど感受性が高かった。

ガス交換に対するオゾンの影響は、クローンによって違い、特に光合成速度とオゾン取り込み速度に違 いがみられた。すなわち、オゾン接触が進むにつれて、カマブチの光合成速度とオゾン取り込み速度は著 しく減少したが、NR6では特に変化はみられなかった。光合成速度とオゾン取り込み速度の減少は、蒸 散速度の変化と対応しないことから、気孔閉鎖に依存するものではないと考えられた。またオゾンの取り 込み総量は、クローン間では抵抗性の NR6に比べて感受性のカマブチの方が大きく、同一クローン内で は栄養条件の良い区ほど大きかった。以上の結果およびガス拡散抵抗の解析結果から、抵抗性クローンで ある NR6は、カマブチに比べて、高い気孔抵抗を維持することによってオゾンストレスを回避しえたこ と、また栄養条件による抵抗性の違いには、ガス拡散に対する葉肉抵抗の違いが主要因として関与してい たことが示唆された。

1985年9月20日受理 (1)(2)造林部